

СРБИЈА

SHQIPËRIA

TRANSLATING RUSSIAN LITERATURE IN THE GLOBAL CONTEXT

Edited by Muireann Maguire and Cathy McAteer

SHOIPËRIA

1960

XOB DAUTA LITT I

СТО ЛЕТ СО ДНЯ РОЖДЕНИЯ

100

NICOLAI GOGOL



https://www.openbookpublishers.com

©2024 Muireann Maguire and Cathy McAteer (eds). Copyright of individual chapters is maintained by the chapters' authors.



This work is licensed under an Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). This license allows re-users to copy and distribute the material in any medium or format in unadapted form only, for non-commercial purposes only, and only so long as attribution is given to the creator. Attribution should include the following information.

Muireann Maguire and Cathy McAteer (eds), *Translating Russian Literature in the Global Context. Cambridge*, UK: Open Book Publishers, 2024, https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0340

In order to access detailed and updated information on the license, please visit https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0340#copyright

Copyright and permissions for the reuse of many of the images included in this publication differ from the above. This information is provided in the captions and in the list of illustrations. Every effort has been made to identify and contact copyright holders and any omission or error will be corrected if notification is made to the publisher.

Further details about the CC BY-NC-ND license are available at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0

All external links were active at the time of publication unless otherwise stated and have been archived via the Internet Archive Wayback Machine at https://archive.org/web

Digital material and resources associated with this volume are available at https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0340#resources

ISBN Paperback: 978–1-80064–983–5 ISBN Hardback: 978–1-80064–984–2 ISBN Digital (PDF): 978–1-80064–985–9 ISBN Digital ebook (EPUB): 978–1-80064–986–6 ISBN DIGITAL ebook (HTML): 978–1-80064–989–7 DOI: 10.11647/OBP.0340

Cover Design: Jeevanjot Kaur Nagpal

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme as part of the RUSTRANS academic project, 'The Dark Side of Translation: 20th and 21st Century Translation from Russian as a Political Phenomenon in the UK, Ireland, and the USA' (grant agreement no. 802437).



European Research Council Established by the European Commission

Germany

Mann's View of Dostoevsky and Tolstoy in Times of War and Peace: *Doctor Faustus* (1947)¹

Elizaveta Sokolova

Russian culture was truly meaningful to Thomas Mann (1875–1955), the celebrated German writer (laureate of the 1929 Nobel Prize for Literature), who lived in exile in the USA from September 1938 onwards, and who undoubtedly belonged to Pascale Casanova's list of "great cosmopolitan intermediaries" who determine the world literary canon and its development in their time.² Mann significantly "surpassed the other German writers of his generation" in "the fullness of his spiritual connections with Russian literature".³ Reflections of the creative thought and biographies of many Russian writers are clearly distinguishable in his work, to the extent that some scholars emphasise the essential and even 'salvific' role of Russian literature in Mann's own development as a great writer of the twentieth century, "a holy literature indeed".⁴

¹ Some elements of this article previously appeared in Russian in E. V. Sokolova, "Povorot k Dostoevskomu" u Tomasa Manna: "Doktor Faustus" (1947)', in *Vestnik kulturologii*, 4: 99 (2021), 96–113, https://doi.org/10.31249/hoc/2021.04.06.

² Pascale Casanova, *The World Republic of Letters*, trans. by Malcolm DeBevoise (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2004), p. 21.

³ Tamara Motyleva, *Tomas Mann i russkaia literatura* (Moscow: Znanie, 1975), p. 6. Here and below, unless otherwise indicated, all translations into English from Russian and German are my own.

^{4 &}quot;[...] aus die anbetungswürdige russische Literatur, die so recht eigentlich die heilige Literatur darstellt [...]": Thomas Mann, *Gesammelte Werke*, 12 vols (Berlin: Aufbau-Verlag, 1955), IX, p. 232. See also Mann, XI, p. 575. On the 'salvific' role of Russian literature for Mann see Aleksei Zherebin, "Tomas Mann i "Iunosheskii mif russkoi literatury", in *Izvestiia Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriia literatury i iazyka*, 72 (2013), 45–51.

Mann's acquaintance with Russian literature began in his early youth, made possible by the increasingly positive reception of Russian literature in Germany in the 1880s. He read Russian authors in German translations, which had just begun proliferating.⁵ Certain Russian writers contributed significantly to this trend, including the bilingual Karolina Pavlova (1807-93), one of the first translators of nineteenth-century Russian literature into German; Ivan Turgenev (1818-83), who called Germany his "second homeland",6 and later, in the early twentieth century, Dmitri Merezhkovskii (1865-1941), a noted Russian philosopher who settled in Paris in 1920, where he remained an important Russian literary influence abroad, a connoisseur and a populariser of Russian thought in Europe.⁷ Among the first translators of Turgenev, Dostoevsky, and Tolstoy into German, Jürgen Lehmann singles out Wilhelm Wolfsohn (1820-65), who "facilitated" the reception of Russian literature for readers brought up on German classical philosophy and aesthetics.8 Lehmann also acknowledges translations by Friedrich von Bodenstedt (1819-92)-who produced an edition of Turgenev's short stories-although he considers von Bodenstedt less gifted than Wolfsohn.9 From the mid-1880s, translators of Russian literature into German increased rapidly in number, thus we cannot always determine whose translations introduced Mann to a specific text. He evidently read Tolstoy and Turgenev in different translations. Tolstoy's works, for example, were translated by Raphael Löwenfeld, August Scholz, and Frida Rubiner.¹⁰ Mann is known to have read The Brothers Karamazov (Brat'ia Karamazovy, 1880) in Karl Nötzel's translation, and Dostoevsky's remaining novels mostly in Hermann Röll's versions.¹¹ He may also have been familiar with other translations including Raskolnikow (1882), a version of Crime and Punishment (Prestuplenie i nakazanie, 1866) by Wilhelm Henckel (1825–1910).¹² This was the very first translation of a Dostoevsky novel in Western Europe, preceding Victor Derély's 1884 French Le Crime et le châtiment by two years. Henckel's translations may also have introduced Mann to the work of Anton Chekhov.

⁵ Jürgen Lehmann, *Russische Literatur in Deutschland* (Frankfurt: Metzler, 2015), pp. 63–64.

⁶ Lehmann, Russische Literatur, pp. 31–34. On Turgenev's influence on Mann see, for example, Georg Wenzel, 'Ivan Sergeevič Turgenev in Aufzeichnungen Thomas Manns', in Zeitschrift für Slawistik, 28 (1983), 889–914; Horst-Jürgen Gerigk, 'Turgenjew unterwegs zum Zauberberg', in Thomas Mann Jahrbuch, 8 (1995), 53–69.

⁷ Lehmann, *Russische Literatur*, p. 65.

⁸ Ibid., p. 40.

⁹ Ivan Turgenev, *Erzählungen*, 2 vols, trans. by Friedrich von Bodenstedt (München: Rieger'sche Unversitätsbuchhandlung, 1864–65).

¹⁰ L. N. Tolstoj, Sämtliche Werke, 33 vols, trans. by R. Löwenfeld (Leipzig: Diederichs, 1901–07); Lehmann, Russische Literatur, p. 65.

¹¹ Michael Wegner, 'Zu den Teufelsgestalten bei Thomas Mann und Fedor Dostojewski', in *Dostojewski Studies*, 9 (1988), 34–43 (pp. 35–36).

¹² Fjodor Dostojewski, *Raskolnikow*, 3 vols, trans. by Wilhelm Henckel (Leipzig: Wilhelm Friedrich, 1882).

Germany

Russian literature occupies an important place in Mann's own critical writings. He wrote three essays on Tolstoy,¹³ one on Dostoevsky,¹⁴ in which he compares the latter with Nietzsche; and another on Chekhov, as its title clarifies (Versuch über Tschekhov, 1954).¹⁵ He was well acquainted with Merezhkovskii's Tolstoy and Dostoevsky (Lev Tolstoi i Dostoevskii, 1901), published in Berlin in 1919 in Carl von Gütschow's German translation.¹⁶ Mann owed Merezhkovskii not only the idea of contrasting Tolstoy (as a "seer of the flesh") with the "seer of the spirit" Dostoevsky, but also the notion that "the greater are an artist's creative powers, the more precisely he is able to summon the contents of his imagination into both the reality of his life and that of his works".¹⁷ This informed Mann's admiration for Tolstoy as the embodiment of such powers. We should also mention Maksim Gorky, whose Memories of Leo Nikolaevich Tolstoy (Vospominaniia o L've Nikolaeviche Tolstom, 1919), according to Mann, his best book,¹⁸ served the latter as a reliable source of information about the life and personality of the "great writer of the Russian lands".¹⁹ The first critical views on Mann's assessments of Russian literature and his expression of Russian motifs in his work were offered by Alois Hofmann in German or Tamara Motyleva in Russian.²⁰ More recently, Aleksei Zherebin also lends profound insight into Mann's perception of Russian literature as a whole.²¹ Intertextual connections with Russian literature in Mann's work have been studied globally, showing that, while the universe of Mann's Russian influences accommodated numerous writers, Tolstoy and Dostoevsky were crucial among them.²²

Despite his own "rather sceptical attitude" towards Tolstoy's moralising and to some of his pedagogical ideas, Thomas Mann always found in his work "the

¹³ Mann, Gesammelte Werke, X, Goethe und Tolstoi. Fragmente zur Problem der Humanität, pp. 157–73; Anna Karenina. Einleitung zu einer ameikanischen Ausgabe von Leo Tolstoi, pp. 274–92; XI, Tolstoi. Zur Jahrhundertfeier seiner Geburt, pp. 185–90.

¹⁴ Mann, X, Dostojewski-mit Maszen, pp. 617-35.

¹⁵ Mann, XI, Versuch über Tschekhov, pp. 311–40.

¹⁶ Dmitri Mereschkowski, Tolstoi und Dostojewski. Leben—Schaffen—Religion (Berlin: K. Voegel, 1919).

¹⁷ Aleksei Zherebin, 'Nemetsko-russkaia utopiia Tomasa Manna ("Gete i Tolstoi")', in Novyi filologicheskii vestnik, 48 (2019), 273–81 (p. 279).

^{18 &#}x27;Maxim Gorki hat nach Tolstoi's Tode ein kleines Buch der Erinnerungen an ihn veröffentlicht-sein bestes Buch, wenn ich urteilen darf', from Mann, X, Goethe und Tolstoi, p. 162.

¹⁹ Mann, X, Dostoewski—mit Maszen, p. 618.

²⁰ Alois Hofman, *Thomas Mann und die Welt der Russischen Literatur* (Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1967); Motyleva, *Tomas Mann*.

²¹ Aleksei Zherebin, Interpretatsiia literaturnogo proizvedeniia v inokul'turnom kontekste (Sankt-Peterburg: Knizhnyi Dom, 2013; 'Nemetsko-russkaia utopiia...' (2019); 'Tomas Mann i "Iunosheskii mif russkoi literatury" (2013).

²² Georgy Fridlender, "Doktor Faustus" T. Manna i "Besy" Dostoevskogo', in Dostoevskii. Materialy i issledovaniia, 14 (1997), 3–16; Motyleva, Tomas Mann; Lehmann, Russische Literatur, pp. 111–29.

highest example of epic art".²³ While working on Buddenbrooks (1897–1901), he kept Tolstoy's portrait on his desk as a "mythical mentor" in the genre of the epic.²⁴ Some scholars identify Tolstoyan traits in Leo Naphta, the mystically inclined Jesuit in Mann's The Magic Mountain (Der Zauberberg, 1924), who preaches "Byzantine-Asian anarchist despotism" and hence opposes the Italian scholar Lodovico Settembrini with his codes of "classical" European humanism.²⁵ Solomon Apt, the Russian translator of Mann's Joseph and His Brothers (Joseph und seine Brüder, 1933–43) and Doctor Faustus (Doktor Faustus, 1947), likens Tolstoy to Mynheer Peeperkorn, another Magic Mountain character who represents Mann's "ideal of a vital solar unconsciousness" and an alternative way of life for the novel's protagonist, Hans Castorp.²⁶ Apt identifies the kinship between the majestic Dutchman Peeperkorn and Tolstoy in an episode from the last chapter of Mann's novel, where Peeperkorn urges his listeners to look at the sky, pointing out a soaring eagle. "'Jupiters Vogel' [Jupiter's bird], says Peeperkorn, 'flies high, sees wide and pursues its natural prey [...]".²⁷ Apt finds a corresponding episode from Tolstoy's life in Gorky's Memories of Leo Nikolaevich Tolstoy (Vospominaniia o L've Nikolaeviche Tolstom, 1919), arguing that the symbolism of the eagle shows the significance of Tolstoy's personality to Mann.²⁸ Peeperkorn seems to overshadow both Settembrini and Naphta in their "fighting for the soul" of the future (in the person of Castorp) by "the very fact of his being there, the inexplicable magic of his life force, victorious naturalness and integrity".29 Almost the same could have been written by Mann about Tolstoy, Apt insists.³⁰ Describing the set of tropes to which Mann "confines his stylised image of Tolstoy", Zherebin also notes "Herculean strength", "unrestrained sensuality"

²³ Solomon Apt, Nad stranitsami Tomasa Manna (Moscow: Sovetskii Pisatel, 1980), p. 118.

²⁴ Solomon Apt, Tomas Mann: Biografiia (Moscow: Molodaia gvardiia, 1972), p. 118.

²⁵ Lehmann, Russische Literatur, p. 117.

²⁶ Igor Ebanoidze, 'Tomas Mann', in *Istoriia literatury Germanii* XX veka, 2 vols (Moscow: IMLI RAN, 2016-), I [Part 2] (2018), pp. 17–55 (p. 44).

²⁷ Mann, II, Der Zauberberg, p. 838: "Er kreist gerade über uns im Blauen, schwebt ohne Flügelschlag in grossartige Höhe zu unseren—und späht gewiss aus seinen mächtigen, weitsichtigen Augen unter den vortretenden Brauenknochen—Der Adler, meine Herrschaften, Jupiters Vogel, der König seines Geschlechtes, der Leu der Lüfte!"

²⁸ Apt, Nad stranitsami Tomasa Manna, p. 120.

²⁹ Ibid., p. 121.

³⁰ Illustrating Mann's attitude towards Tolstoy, Apt also quotes the author's own words, uttered, according to Mann's daughter Erica, on 2 August 1914, after he learned of the outbreak of World War I: "It's a strange thing, but if the old man were still alive—he would not have to do anything, just be in the world, just be in Yasnaya Polyana—and the disaster would not have happened, would not have dared to happen" (ibid., p. 123).

and "wisdom of the ancient sorcerer", bestowed by "the mysterious connection of a child of nature with life in general" stimulating "mystical awe".³¹

At the same time, Mann's attitude towards Tolstoy was not unambiguous. In his article 'Tolstoy: On the Centenary of His Birth' ('Tolstoi. Zur Jahrhundertfeier seiner Geburt', 1928),³² Mann portrayed the Russian writer as "an ally in his [Mann's] own struggle against irrationalism, [...] that ideological dope having intoxicated the whole of Europe while making Germany more and more defenceless before the Nazis".³³ But in the early 1930s, in the second version of his essay 'Goethe and Tolstoy', "der grosse Dichter des Russenlandes" ("the great writer of the Russian lands") was clearly opposed to the idealised figure of Johann Wolfgang von Goethe.³⁴ According to Mann, the latter had successfully completed the synthesis of nature and spirit in his life and work, and therefore still remains a true educator of the German nation, leading it towards humanity. In contrast, Tolstoy, as a native of the "element of Sarmatian savagery", failed in a similar task.³⁵ Apt emphasises that Mann, though admiring Tolstoy's vitality and power, questioned his spirituality. The German writer seems to be unable to completely overcome a deep inner prejudice against what he saw as Tolstoy's alignment with the physical in the conflict of "vitality" and "spirit", writing: "What a blessed life! But so tragically, even tragicomically, blessed with power not spirit".36

Precisely this antithesis underlies the distinction which Mann perceived between Tolstoy and Dostoevsky. In 'Dostoevsky—with Moderation' ('Dostojewski—mit Maszen', 1945–46) Mann opposes one dyad, Goethe and Tolstoy, to another pair—Nietzsche and Dostoevsky—in analogy to health (both physical and spiritual) versus illness.³⁷ In other words, the Tolstoy-Dostoevsky contrast embodies for Mann the antithesis of spirituality to the natural creative gift (like the contrast between sickness and wellness). This opposition is central for *Doctor Faustus*, where the title character Adrian Leverkühn personifies the problematic relationship between genius and illness in the historical context of the two wars waged by Germany against the rest of the world. At the same time, Leverkühn illustrates how the "integral ideal of an artist of genius and a humanist intellectual" can split into antinomic pairs—"spirit and life, life and art, art and spirit".³⁸

³¹ Zherebin, 'Nemetsko-russkaia utopiia...', p. 275.

³² Mann, XI, Tolstoi. Zur Jahrhundertfeier seiner Geburt, pp. 185–90.

³³ Apt, Nad stranitsami Tomasa Manna, p. 144.

³⁴ Mann, X, Goethe und Tolstoi, p. 162

³⁵ Ibid., p. 230.

³⁶ Mann, XI, Tolstoi. Zur Jahrhundertfeier seiner Geburt, p. 189.

³⁷ Mann, X, Dostojewski—mit Maszen, p. 617.

³⁸ Ebanoidze, 'Tomas Mann', p. 51.

Unsurprisingly, therefore, while working on Doctor Faustus (May 1943-January 1947)³⁹—including the last two years of World War II—Mann admitted his own "decisive preponderance of interest in Dostoevsky's grotesque-apocalyptic world of suffering" over "a usually deeper attraction to Tolstoy's epic gift".⁴⁰ The correlation between crises in world history and Mann's interest in Dostoevsky was already revealed by many authors. Georgii Fridlender points out Mann's turn toward Dostoevsky during World Wars I and II,⁴¹ while Ekaterina Barinova identifies three such periods: the 1890s and the First and Second World Wars.⁴² Mann studied Dostoevsky's novels between 1938 and 1943, mainly reading the 1921 twenty-five volume edition of his collected works in German.⁴³ In his diaries and letters, he mentions repeatedly "reading" and "re-reading" Uncle's Dream (Diadiushkin son, 1859), The Eternal Husband (Vechnyi muzh, 1870), The House of the Dead (Zapiski iz mertvogo doma, 1862), Notes from Underground (Zapiski iz podpol'ia, 1864), The Village of Stepanchikovo (Selo Stepanchikovo i ego obitateli, 1859), The Gambler (Igrok, 1867), The Idiot (Idiot, 1869), Crime and Punishment, Demons (Besy, 1872), and The Brothers Karamazov.⁴⁴

The edition of Dostoevsky which Mann was reading, with an introductory article by Stefan Zweig, includes all Dostoevsky's novels in German translations by Karl Nötzel (*The Brothers Karamazov*) and Hermann Röll (the remaining novels). Thus we know that Mann's *Doctor Faustus* was influenced by the style of Karl Nötzel (1870–1945), author of numerous books on the history of Russian literature and translator of Tolstoy, Gogol, and Nikolai Leskov, as well as Dostoevsky. Michael Wegner postulates that in 1938 Mann was already deeply impressed by the scene from Chapter IX of Book Eleven of *The Brothers Karamazov*, where the dialogue between Ivan Karamazov and the devil occurs; later, he repeatedly re-read it.⁴⁵

In his major essay *The Story of a Novel: The Genesis of Doctor Faustus (Die Entstehung des Doktor Faustus. Roman eines Romans,* 1949),⁴⁶ Mann mentions having read only *The Brothers Karamazov, Uncle's Dream* and *The House of the Dead* by that time.⁴⁷ Besides the war, a practical reason had arisen for Mann to re-read Dostoevsky in the mid-1940s: the American publisher Dial Press had invited

³⁹ Mann, XII, Die Entstehung des Doktor Faustus, p. 333.

⁴⁰ Ibid., p. 261.

⁴¹ Georgy Fridlender, "Doktor Faustus" T. Manna i "Besy" Dostoevskogo', in Dostoevskii. Materialy i issledovaniia, 14 (1997), 3–16 (p. 5).

⁴² Ekaterina Barinova, 'Russkie kontsepty' v tvorchestve Tomasa Manna v 1890–1920-kh godakh (unpublished doctoral thesis, University of Nizhnii Novgorod, 2007), p. 11.

⁴³ Fjodor Michailowitsch Dostojewski, *Sämtliche Romane und Novellen*, 25 vols, trans. by Hermann Röll and Karl Nötzel (Leipzig: Insel, 1921).

⁴⁴ Lehmann, Russische Literatur, pp. 117–18; Wegner, 'Zu den Teufelsgestalten bei Thomas Mann', 35.

⁴⁵ Wegner, 'Zu den Teufelsgestalten bei Thomas Mann', 36.

⁴⁶ Mann, XII, Die Entstehung des Doktor Faustus, pp. 178–335.

⁴⁷ Ibid., p. 228, p. 261, p. 329.

Germany

him to write an introduction for a proposed new edition of Dostoevsky (*The Short Novels of Dostoevsky*, 1945). According to the chronology given in *The Story of a Novel*, Mann turned to the scene with the devil from *The Brothers Karamazov* while working on Chapter XIV of *Doctor Faustus*, where the starting point of his protagonist Adrian Leverkühn's "turn towards the devil" is to be found. At the conclusion of that chapter, Mann's narrator Serenus Zeitblom expresses his confidence in Adrian's imminent departure from the Theological faculty.⁴⁸ Mann confessed that he was studying this particular scene from Dostoevsky at that time "with detached mindfulness", much as he had explored Flaubert's *Salambo* before commencing work on *Joseph and his Brothers.*⁴⁹

Indeed, Chapter XXV of *Doctor Faustus*, which features Leverkühn's conversation with the devil, turns out to be the climax of the whole novel, where the storyline of Adrian's renunciation of God also culminates. Soon after finishing that viscerally troubling chapter on 20 February 1945,⁵⁰ Mann re-read *Uncle's Dream*.⁵¹ But only much later, already working on the ending of his own novel, did he immerse himself in Dostoevsky's *Notes from the House of the Dead*.⁵² Meanwhile, Leverkühn suffers a stroke after an unsuccessful attempt at public confession and remains depressed for the next ten years until his death (like Nietzsche in Turin). We thus find three main points in the "spirit degradation storyline" central for Mann's novel, namely its exposition (in Chapter XIV), culmination (Chapter XXV) and the denouement (in Chapter XLVII). These stages correlate with Mann's records of his "reading and rereading" of Dostoevsky's works in *The Story of a Novel*.

Another correlation is also striking: Mann wrote Chapters XIV–XXV (which chronicle Leverkühn's spiritual decline) soon after the tide turned for Germany in World War II, as the Soviet army finally started to advance westwards. Just as he was working on Chapter XIX (where Adrian's ultimately fatal contact with "the hetaera" Esmeralda takes place), several important cities surrendered to the Soviet army: Minsk, Lviv, Brest-Litovsk, the "river", which "was forced incredibly quickly", all of which Mann cites in one sentence.⁵³ It is notable, therefore, how much was surrendered to the "demonic forces" at exactly the "point" in *The Story of a Novel* which corresponds chronologically to Chapter XIX: as if all the debts that had not been collected in time (in previous chapters,

⁴⁸ Mann, VI, Doktor Faustus, p. 172.

⁴⁹ Mann, XII, Die Entstehung des Doktor Faustus, p. 228. For comparison of this scene from The Brothers Karamazov to the conversation with the devil from Chapter XXV of Doctor Faustus see, for example, Wegner, 'Zu den Teufelsgestalten bei Thomas Mann', pp. 34–43; J.N.K. Sugden, Thomas Mann and Dostoevsky: A Study of Doctor Faustus in Comparison with The Brothers Karamazov (unpublished doctoral thesis, University of Cambridge, 1982).

⁵⁰ Mann, XII, Die Entstehung des Doktor Faustus, p. 250.

⁵¹ Ibid., p. 261.

⁵² Ibid., p. 329.

⁵³ Ibid., p. 233.

where Adrian's own decline was only implied) were suddenly called in. From that point, both "declines" (that of Adrian and of Fascist Germany) develop in parallel and with increasing speed. Only two pages later, the Russians are already "near Warsaw, threatening Memel".54 And as in Chapter XXI, Leverkühn (to the horror of the humanist Zeitblom) opposes "art" to "truth", identifying art with cold and rational cognition, thereby striking a devastating blow to the ideals of "holy Russian literature" in attacking Dostoevsky. It is no coincidence that the paragraph announcing in *The Story of a Novel* the completion of Mann's work on the "conversation with the devil" (20 February 1945) says also that the "Russians" are already thirty miles from Berlin and are gathering forces for the final blow.⁵⁵ The next paragraph mentions the Yalta Conference (the new world order) and "the end" of Germany.⁵⁶ The End was also the title of the article Thomas Mann wrote at that time for the American press about the German catastrophe.⁵⁷ Recovery from a catastrophe on this scale takes a lot of time, and a three-month-long pause in the work on Doctor Faustus followed the completion of its climactic chapter (XXV). By that time, the deadline for the introduction about Dostoevsky had arrived, and in July 1945, shortly after the celebration of the victorious Independence Day, a "chilled and tired" Mann, "issued 24 pages in 12 days" so that "in the last third of the month", having finally turned the tide of his disease, he could "return to Faustus again".58

'Dostoevsky—with Moderation' is the title of the article, which Mann ends by quoting his unnamed friend: "When I told a friend of my intention to provide a preface for three volumes he said laughing: 'Be careful! You will write a book about him!' I was careful", announces Mann in conclusion before returning to his own Faustus.⁵⁹ However, despite all Mann's "caution", Dostoevsky (besides Nietzsche and Schoenberg) is often suggested as a prototype for Adrian Leverkühn.⁶⁰ In the above-named article, Mann likens Nietzsche's syphilis to Dostoevsky's epilepsy and places this "holy disease" at the centre of the Russian writer's personality, in which sense, Mann's Leverkühn mirrors not only Dostoevsky but also Nietzsche.⁶¹ Paying minimal attention to the continuity of ideas between Dostoevsky and Nietzsche, Mann still calls these two "brothers in spirit", viewing their diseases—Dostoevsky's epilepsy and Nietzsche's

- 60 Lehmann, Russische Literatur, p. 118.
- 61 Mann, X, Dostojewski—mit Maszen, p. 618.

⁵⁴ Ibid., p. 235.

⁵⁵ Ibid., p. 250.

⁵⁶ Ibid., p. 251.

⁵⁷ On the 'national catastrophe' of Hitlerism Mann wrote an essay 'Germany and the Germans' ('Deutschland und die Deutschen', 1945) that may be considered a revised version of the above-mentioned text. See ibid., p. 574, p. 575.

⁵⁸ Ibid., p. 265.

⁵⁹ Thomas Mann, 'Dostoevsky—with Moderation', in *The Short Novels of Dostoevsky*. With an Introduction by Thomas Mann (New York: Dial Press, 1945), pp. 8–51 (p. 51).

progressive paralysis—as almost the main reason for such brotherhood.⁶² Mann speculates that each of them at least partially owed their breakthroughs into the sphere of the spirit (or at least beyond the limits of human morality) to the diseases they suffered.

Scholars quite often draw parallels between Doctor Faustus and Dostoevsky's The Brothers Karamazov while focusing on the conversations with the devil in each text.63 Summarising their conclusions, Jürgen Lehmann notes many similarities in the demonic visions (or encounters) of Ivan Karamazov and Adrian Leverkühn.⁶⁴ In both cases, the devil is depicted as both a double of the protagonist and as an allegorical expression either of excessive intellectualism coloured by mental illness (in Dostoevsky) or of illness as a source of creative productivity (in Thomas Mann). Both Ivan Karamazov and Adrian Leverkühn seem to have been expecting the devil's visit. At first, they try to convince themselves that what they are seeing is mere delirium; each feels sick and weak while speaking with their devil. Both devils express the innermost thoughts of their interlocutors: Ivan Karamazov's doubts about the existence of God; Leverkühn's guesses about the connection between illness and creativity (much as this topic is treated in Mann's 'Dostoevski with Moderation') as well as his reflections on the essential mediocrity of modern culture and its inevitable end. The course of each conversation, each outwardly bland demonic interlocutor, and even certain details of their clothing (caps, chequered patterns) echo the end of mediocre modernity in the other text. And although Karamazov, unlike Leverkühn, does not reach a deal with his devil, the bargain made by the latter diverges from the 'classical' Faust-context: by giving up his soul to the devil (or to his illness), Adrian receives in return a "dangerous gift of guaranteed genius"65 (within a fundamentally unoriginal culture), agreeing at the same time to the absence of love and intimacy from his life. The main difference between these two demonic conversations seems to lie in their respective degree of spirituality: Ivan Karamazov is concerned with issues of a higher order (theodicy, the limits of human freedom), while Leverkühn does not leave the field of the Apollonian and Dionysian rupture in art (remember Nietzsche again).

Doctor Faustus is compared to Dostoevsky's *Demons* almost as often as to *Brothers Karamazov*. For example, Georgii Fridlender identifies significant similarity between Leverkühn and Stavrogin, "perhaps mysteriously the most compelling character in all of world literature" according to Mann.⁶⁶ The life of Stavrogin, "the denier of the spirit", with the "fatal consequences" of his nihilism for "himself, the surrounding people and social life as a whole", unfolds

⁶² Ibid., p. 619.

⁶³ See Sugden, *Thomas Mann and Dostoevsky*; Wegner, 'Zu den Teufelsgestalten bei Thomas Mann'.

⁶⁴ Lehmann, Russische Literatur, pp. 119–20.

⁶⁵ Ebanoidze, 'Tomas Mann', p. 50.

⁶⁶ Mann, X, Dostojewski—mit Maszen, p. 623.

in *Demons* much as the life and the fate of Adrian Leverkühn unfold in *Doctor Faustus*.⁶⁷ And the spiritual nihilism (the resistance to the spirit) shown in both novels as "a tragic phenomenon threatening all the foundations of human life" is grounded in the loss of faith in "living life" and in God (by Dostoevsky) and in "universal values of humanism, unshakable moral principles" (by Mann).⁶⁸ Parallels may be drawn between Adrian Leverkühn and Aleksei Kirillov (who describes his own epileptic aura in *Demons*) or even the postal official Liamshin in the latter novel—particularly through the latter's style of playing music.⁶⁹ *The Adolescent* (*Podrostok*, 1975) has also been mentioned in connection with *Doctor Faustus*—by none other than Mikhail Bakhtin.⁷⁰

Yet Dostoevsky is named just once in *Doctor Faustus*, and even then indirectly: Saul Fitelberg (in Chapter XXXVII) refers to Hugo Wolf's "perplexing" statements about him.⁷¹ However, this is adequate proof that the Leverkühn was intended to be aware of the Russian writer but not necessarily of Arnold Schoenberg or Friedrich Nietzsche whose fates, ideas, and creative achievements were also "appropriated" by Mann's protagonist, although they are never named in the novel. But if Schoenberg's involuntary contribution to the artistic level of the novel is indirectly confirmed by Mann in the refutation at its conclusion (added later at the insistence of the composer himself), then Nietzsche's contribution remains anonymous: despite his ideological and biographical overlaps with Adrian, he is never mentioned in the novel—as if he had never existed in Leverkühn's world. Could this imply that Adrian Leverkühn plays a Nietzsche-like role in the global catastrophe described in Mann's novel? If so, it looks as if Mann had some burning questions for Nietzsche by the mid-1940s.

Salvation from "spiritual death" came to Thomas Mann in his youth via two phenomena: Nietzsche's rebellious philosophy and the "essence of the Russian soul" known to him through "holy Russian literature", as he confirmed again, already middle-aged, in his introduction to the *Russian Anthology (Russische Antologie*, 1921), a special issue of the German journal *Süddeutsche Monatshefte*, ((18), February 1921), which he co-edited with the translator Alexander

⁶⁷ Fridlender, "Doktor Faustus" T. Manna i "Besy" Dostoevskogo', p. 16.

⁶⁸ Ibid., p. 16.

⁶⁹ See Elizaveta Sokolova, 'Vserossiiskaia nauchnaia konferentsiia "Teksty i konteksty": "Doktor Faustus" T. Manna (23–24 iiunia, 2021, MGU). (Obzor dokladov)', in Sotsial'nye i gumanitarnye nauki. Otechestvennaia i zarubezhnaia literatura. Seriia 7. Literaturovedenie, 4 (2021), 129–46 (p. 135).

⁷⁰ Mikhail Bakhtin, Problemy poetiki Dostoevskogo, in Sobranie sochinenii, 7 vols (Moscow: IMLI RAN; Russkie slovari; Iazyki slavianskoi kul'tury, 1996–2010), VI (2002), p. 249 (footnote 1).

⁷¹ Mann, VI, Doktor Faustus, p. 549. 'Nonsense about Dostoevsky' was discovered by Thomas Mann in a letter by the Austrian composer Hugo Wolff (1860–1903), see Mann, XII, Die Entstehung des Doktor Faustus, p. 190.

Eliasberg.⁷² But in the 1940s, the "German spirit" as a whole seemed to have come very close to death—both through fascism and by its reflection in the fate of the "German composer" Adrian Leverkühn. So, in his final great novel, *Doctor Faustus*, Mann symbolically called upon both his former "saviours"—Nietzsche and "holy Russian literature" (now personified by Dostoevsky more than anyone)—for help, or perhaps to be held accountable. And Dostoevsky came to the rescue.

⁷² Mann, XI, *Russische Antologie*, p. 575; Zherebin, 'Tomas Mann i "Iunosheskii mif russkoi literatury'', pp. 45–46. The selection, chosen and introduced by Mann, included works by and extracts from L. N. Tolstoy, A.N. Tolstoy, Dostoevsky, Leskov, Chekhov, Lermontov, Turgenev, Sologub, Kuzmin, and Gorky, among others. See Andre von Gronicka 'Thomas Mann and Russia', *The Germanic Review: Literature, Culture, Theory*, 20:2 (1945), 105–37 (pp. 108–10), https://doi.org/10.108 0/19306962.1945.11786230.