

BEYOND POPULAR SCIENCE



DAVID H. SILVER



BEYOND POPULAR SCIENCE

David H. Silver

<https://www.openbookpublishers.com>

© 2026 David H. Silver



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0). This license allows you to share, copy, distribute and transmit the text; to adapt the text for non-commercial purposes of the text providing attribution is made to the authors (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work). Attribution should include the following information:

David H. Silver, *Beyond Popular Science*. Cambridge, UK: Open Book Publishers, 2026,
<https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0526>

Further details about CC BY-NC licenses are available at
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Copyright and permissions for the reuse of many of the images included in this publication differ from the above. This information is provided in the captions and in the list of illustrations. Unless otherwise stated, figures are reproduced under the fair dealing principle. Every effort has been made to identify and contact copyright holders and any omission or error will be corrected if notification is made to the publisher.

All external links were active at the time of publication unless otherwise stated and have been archived via the Internet Archive Wayback Machine at
<https://archive.org/web>

Digital material and resources associated with this volume are available at
<https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0526#resources>

ISBN Paperback:	978-1-80511-877-0
ISBN Hardback:	978-1-80511-878-7
ISBN Digital (PDF):	978-1-80511-879-4
ISBN HTML:	978-1-80511-881-7
ISBN Digital ebook (epub):	978-1-80511-880-0
DOI:	10.11647/OBP.0526

Cover image by Enny Silver and David H. Silver
Cover design by Jeevanjot Kaur Nagpal

**Let There Be
Biolumines-
cence**

Top (Molecular Ångström scale, reaction begins): At the Ångström scale, the luminescent reaction begins: luciferin is enzymatically converted into luciferyl-adenylate, then oxidised into oxyluciferin. The process releases a visible photon ($h\nu$), producing light.

Second (Enzyme Active Site): At nanometre scale, the luciferase enzyme forms a pocket where the reaction occurs. This active site holds luciferin in the correct orientation, enabling efficient light-producing catalysis.


Third (Subcellular Photocyte): At the 1–10 μm scale, we zoom into a photocyte—a specialised light-producing cell. Organelles such as mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum support energy-demanding luminescence.

Fourth (Cell/Tissue Cross-Section): At the 10–100 μm scale, we see a full photocyte embedded in a patterned tissue. The densely packed spheres represent light-emitting units; organisation optimises light output and diffusion.

Fifth (Lantern Organ): At the 1–5 mm scale, the firefly's lantern organ is visible in cross-section. Photocytes, tracheal tubes (for oxygen), and reflector layers are arranged to maximise brightness and directionality.


Sixth (Whole Firefly): At the centimetre scale, we see the full insect with its ventral lantern exposed.

Bottom (Population-Level Output): At the metre scale, we zoom out to an ecological view: a jar of approximately forty fireflies generates light that *seems* equivalent to a candle.



Let There Be Bioluminescence

Firefly flashes demonstrate biology's hierarchical organisation from ecosystems to quantum mechanics. Species-specific flash patterns enable mate recognition and, in tropical swarms, synchronous displays visible across forests. Neural circuits generate these patterns by controlling oxygen flow through tracheal valves to specialised photocytes. Within these cells, luciferase catalyses luciferin oxidation with extreme efficiency, converting chemical energy to light with minimal heat. The photons themselves arise when excited electrons in oxyluciferin transition between quantum energy states, emitting at 560–590 nm.



FIREFLY FLASH PATTERNS ◦ LUCIFERIN-LUCIFERASE
REACTION ◦ QUANTUM PHOTON EMISSION ◦ SPECIES-SPECIFIC
SIGNALS ◦ FLASH SYNCHRONY ◦ PHOTOCYTE
STRUCTURE ◦ OXYGEN CONTROL ◦ 560NM
YELLOW-GREEN ◦ PHOTURIS MIMICRY ◦ QUANTUM
EFFICIENCY ◦ TRANSDISCIPLINARY CASCADE

*« Arithmétique! algèbre! géométrie! trinité grandiose!
triangle lumineux! Celui qui ne vous a pas connues est un insensé! »*

(“Arithmetic! Algebra! Geometry! Grandiose trinity!
Luminous triangle! Whoever has not known you is without sense!”)

— Comte de Lautréamont, 1869

Let There Be Bioluminescence

Fireflies have intrigued observers for millennia, with their rhythmic flashes illuminating summer landscapes and inspiring both folklore and scientific enquiry. Systematic investigation dates to the late nineteenth century: Raphael Dubois (1887–1889) established the luciferin–luciferase system and showed that oxygen is required, coining the modern terminology. In the early twentieth century, E. Newton Harvey synthesised and advanced the field, culminating in his 1920 monograph *The Nature of Animal Light*, which framed bioluminescence as a distinct physiological phenomenon. Herbert Ives and William Coblentz (1924) performed early quantitative brightness comparisons using photographic plates and carbon glowlamp standards, though their methods lacked the precision of modern spectroscopy.

By the 1950s and 1960s, researchers succeeded in isolating the key biochemical components: the substrate D-luciferin, the energy carrier ATP, and the enzyme luciferase. These breakthroughs enabled direct experimentation on the reaction mechanism and launched decades of transdisciplinary work. Molecular biologists traced the genetic regulation of luciferase expression; biochemists elucidated its adenylation and oxidation kinetics; and physicists modelled the quantum transitions responsible for photon emission.

The behavioural and ecological dimensions developed in parallel. John and Elisabeth Buck documented synchronous flashing in Southeast Asian fireflies, establishing the field of collective rhythmic behaviour. James Lloyd systematically catalogued flash patterns across North American species and discovered aggressive mimicry in *Photuris*. Sara Lewis examined sexual selection and the evolution of courtship signals. Lynn Faust combined citizen science with field observation to document firefly diversity and decline across temperate regions. More recently, Timothy Fallon and colleagues have applied molecular and chemical tools to probe the basis of bioluminescence and lantern development. The luciferase–luciferin system became both a model for energy conversion in biological systems and a ubiquitous reporter in molecular biology.

Fireflies emit patterned flashes of visible light during twilight hours to communicate species identity and reproductive readiness. These luminous signals, typically observed during summer evenings, are not random glows but pulse sequences that vary in duration, frequency, and rhythm across species. Such optical signalling plays a central role in sexual selection, enabling individuals to locate and identify conspecific mates in low-light environments.

These flash sequences are highly stereotyped within each species, often involving precise intervals between pulses and complex rhythms. In temperate species such as *Photinus pyralis*, the male executes a repeated J-shaped flight pattern accompanied by regularly spaced flashes, while the female responds with a delayed flash after a fixed interval, forming a dialogue. Flash timing is governed primarily by neural control and oxygen gating in the lantern, including nitric-oxide–mediated regulation of tracheal oxygen delivery, rather than by the luciferase gene itself. Genetic variation in luciferase and its regulatory elements

tunes emission colour and expression levels, but courtship rhythms arise from the nervous system.

In many species, males fly and emit sequences of flashes while females respond with stationary signals, enabling pairwise courtship matching. The spatial separation between signaler and responder allows females to remain camouflaged and evaluate male signals from a protected location.

Some tropical firefly species exhibit large-scale flash synchrony, with entire swarms blinking in phase over rivers and forest canopies. This phenomenon, documented in Southeast Asia and the Amazon basin, represents one of the most visually striking examples of collective animal behaviour. Each firefly possesses neural circuits that integrate visual input with motor output, enabling the organism to modulate its own flashing in response to others. The synchrony emerges from local coupling: individuals respond to neighbours' flashes with subsecond delays, creating active neuronal entrainment and feedback across the swarm. Mathematical models of pulse-coupled oscillators successfully reproduce (Mirollo & Strogatz, 1990) the observed dynamics, illustrating how group coherence emerges from individual rules of phase adjustment.

Bioluminescent flashes in fireflies serve not only for courtship but also as aposematic (warning) signals. Predators such as spiders and bats learn to associate the light with unpalatability, as many fireflies produce toxic compounds such as lucibufagins. Thus, the glow acts both as an attractant for mates and as a deterrent to would-be predators, serving dual evolutionary functions.

Interspecific mimicry has evolved in some lineages, where predatory fireflies imitate female flash codes to attract and consume males of other species. This form of aggressive mimicry (Lloyd, 1965), seen in certain *Photuris* species, exploits the flash code communication to lure unsuspecting *Photinus* males.

Firefly light is produced in abdominal lanterns composed of specialised cells called photocytes embedded within a reflective cuticular matrix. These lanterns are located on the ventral surface of abdominal segments and form discrete light-emitting organs. This positioning maximises outward light projection and prevents internal scattering.

These cells contain high concentrations of luciferase enzyme and are packed into layered structures that direct light outward. Each photocyte expresses the luciferase gene at levels 1000-fold higher than housekeeping genes, driven by lantern-specific transcription factors. The 550-amino acid luciferase protein accumulates to high micromolar concentrations in lantern photocytes.

The photocytes are organised into sheets interspersed with tracheoles and backed by a reflective layer of uric acid microcrystals. This photonic layer channels photons toward the exterior and prevents absorption by internal tissues, increasing luminous efficiency. Comparative studies show that species with more crystalline layers produce brighter signals for equivalent biochemical activity.

Oxygen is delivered via a dense network of tracheoles terminating at the photocyte surface, enabling rapid flash onset and cessation. The respiratory system in insects, based on direct gas exchange through branching air tubes, allows localised control of oxygen

concentration. The firefly actively modulates tracheal valve opening to regulate oxygen diffusion, synchronising flash timing with behavioural context. This mechanism enables the rapid on-off cycling necessary for patterned flashes.

ATP is synthesised locally in photocytes via mitochondrial respiration, providing the necessary energy for the light-producing reaction. These mitochondria are spatially arranged near the luciferin–luciferase complexes to facilitate substrate delivery.

Bioluminescence in fireflies is produced by the enzyme-catalysed oxidation of D-luciferin in the presence (Dubois, 1887) of ATP, oxygen, and magnesium ions. The reaction occurs within peroxisomes in the photocytes, where all reactants are present in high concentration. The catalytic role of luciferase is central to determining efficiency and spectral output.

The reaction proceeds through a luciferyl-adenylate intermediate, followed by oxygen insertion and the formation of an excited oxyluciferin molecule. This intermediate is stabilised within the enzyme pocket, aligning the substrates to favour productive reaction pathways. The excited-state product is a singlet species with sufficient lifetime to allow radiative decay.

As oxyluciferin relaxes to its ground state, it emits a photon of visible light, typically in the yellow-green spectrum. The emission spectrum peaks around 560–590 nm for most *Photinus* species, matching the visual sensitivity range of nocturnal insects and vertebrates.

The photon-emission efficiency is typically around 40% to 60%, categorising firefly light as one of the most energy-efficient biological emissions known. Unlike incandescent or fluorescent lighting, the reaction generates minimal thermal energy and proceeds near ambient temperature. This ‘cold light’ property results from direct chemical-to-photon energy conversion.

The spectral output varies among species through mutations in the luciferase gene. Across beetle lineages the peak emission typically spans roughly 540–590 nm, and single amino-acid substitutions near the active site can shift the spectrum by approximately 10–20 nm. Such substitutions alter hydrogen-bonding networks around oxyluciferin. Natural selection has tuned each species’ emission to match the visual sensitivity of conspecific photoreceptors.

pH, temperature, and ionic strength of the cellular milieu influence the excited-state energetics and thus shift the emission spectrum. The enzyme shape responds to environmental cues, subtly altering binding site geometry and solvent accessibility. Controlled experiments confirm that alkaline conditions favour blue-shifted emission.

When oxyluciferin forms in its excited state, the energy from the chemical reaction places an electron in a higher orbital. The molecule is now in an excited singlet state—metastable, persisting for nanoseconds before the electron drops back down. That drop releases the energy difference as a single photon. This is direct chemical-to-photon conversion: the oxidation energy becomes light without passing through heat.

This distinguishes bioluminescence from incandescence. A hot filament emits a broad Planck spectrum because thermal energy randomly excites many transitions. Oxyluciferin emits a narrow spectral band centred at 560 nm because only one specific electronic

transition is accessible from the reaction. The chemical pathway selects the quantum state; the quantum state determines the photon energy; the photon energy fixes the colour.

Molecular conformation controls emission colour with nanometre precision. A twist in oxyluciferin's thiazole ring shifts the spectrum by 10 nm. A hydrogen bond from a nearby amino acid pushes it another 5 nm. Water molecules penetrating the active site can blue-shift emission by 20 nm. Each species has evolved a specific constellation of these effects, encoded in luciferase's amino acid sequence, to produce its characteristic hue.

The luciferase protein scaffold modulates the electronic structure of the reaction complex by stabilising specific orbital configurations. Active site residues create an electrostatic environment that shapes the electron density distribution.

The same physics governs LEDs, laser dyes, and firefly lanterns. In gallium arsenide semiconductors, electrons fall across a bandgap of 1.4 eV, emitting infrared. In rhodamine dyes, π -electron systems with conjugated bonds set gaps around 2.1–2.2 eV, yielding yellow–orange fluorescence. In oxyluciferin, a heterocyclic structure with sulphur and nitrogen atoms creates a gap of 2.2–2.3 eV, producing yellow-green.

This phenomenon exemplifies a continuous causal cascade that spans many scales of scientific enquiry. A courtship behaviour, encoded in species-specific flash patterns, originates in neural control of oxygen delivery to abdominal lanterns. That delivery regulates a biochemical cycle shaped by gene expression, enzyme structure, and intracellular energetics. The emitted light originates in electronic transitions within oxyluciferin—transitions governed by quantum orbital energetics and subject to selection rules derived from quantum mechanics. The same principles used to model LEDs, lasers, and atomic emission lines apply to a flash in the grass.

Transdisciplinary Numbers


When I first explored this topic, I started with a bottom-up calculation from first principles. A firefly lantern contains roughly 10^5 photocytes, each expressing about 10^6 luciferase molecules (micromolar concentrations in specialised cells). The quantum yield of the reaction—the fraction of excited oxyluciferin molecules that emit photons rather than dissipating energy as heat—is ~ 0.41 for beetle luciferases under physiological conditions. The critical constraint is oxygen delivery: Timmins et al. (2001) showed that flashes terminate via oxygen depletion when tracheoles constrict. With an effective in vivo turnover of only ~ 0.01 reactions per enzyme per second (far below the $1\text{--}2\text{ s}^{-1}$ maximum measured in vitro) and a 250 ms flash, this gives a biochemical budget of order $10^8\text{--}10^9$ photons per flash, rising to $\sim 4 \times 10^{10}$ only under burst-like, one-turnover-per-enzyme conditions.

Standing against this was the canonical Ives and Coblenz (1924) figure—widely paraphrased as “1/40 candlepower”—which, when converted through modern photometric standards, implies $\sim 3 \times 10^{14}$ photons per flash. That mismatch of three to four orders of magnitude prompted a closer look. Re-reading Coblenz's 1912 monograph

shows that his *Photinus pyralis* measurements actually ranged from 1/50 to 1/400 candle, with 1/400 predominating, and that visual nulling photometry likely matched peak rather than time-integrated intensity and assumed isotropic emission from a source that in reality beams light ventrally into only $\sim 1\text{--}2$ steradians.

In 2025 I finally tested the numbers directly. We pointed a calibrated lux metre at individually isolated fireflies (likely *Pteroptyx* species) at distances of 1–5 cm. Peak flashes of 0.2–0.5 lux at 1–2 cm, converted to photon flux with the same radiometric machinery and corrected for the lantern's geometry, yielded $10^{10}\text{--}4 \times 10^{11}$ photons per flash. I also reached out to experts: Dr. Timothy R. Fallon, a firefly photobiologist at Scripps Institution of Oceanography, independently estimated $10^8\text{--}10^9$ photons per *Photinus pyralis* flash and emphasised that ‘The flash terminates when O_2 is consumed,’ and Lynn Faust, author of *Fireflies, Glow-Worms, and Lightning Bugs*, noted that LEDs far outshine real fireflies on camera despite looking similar to dark-adapted eyes.

Taken together—the biochemical bound, the re-examined Coblentz data, the reanalysed historical measurements, the modern lux-metre experiments, and expert estimates—all lines of evidence converge on $10^{10}\text{--}10^{11}$ photons per flash. The famous “1/40 candle” number survives mainly as a unit-conversion ghost: a visually matched, directionally emitted, century-old estimate that was quietly miscopied and then propagated through textbooks long after the underlying photometry had been forgotten.



“I’m sorry, but I don’t speak red.”

Bioluminescence Quantification

Molecular Reaction

Firefly luciferase catalyses ATP-driven luciferin oxidation, producing excited oxyluciferin that emits a photon at ~ 560 nm ($E \approx 3.55 \times 10^{-19}$ J) with quantum yield $\Phi \approx 0.41$ (reported range ~ 0.41 – 0.88 , depending on pH and species). Flash duration (200–300 ms) is controlled by oxygen availability via tracheal gating to photocytes. Timmins et al. (2001) demonstrated that flash termination occurs via oxygen depletion when tracheoles constrict, cutting O_2 supply to photocytes.

Bottom-Up Biochemical Calculation

Total photon emission follows from enzyme abundance and oxygen-limited kinetics:

$$N_\gamma = N_{\text{luc,cell}} \times N_{\text{cells}} \times k_{\text{eff}} \times \Phi \times t$$

Parameter ranges from physical bounds and biochemical and anatomical constraints:

- Luciferase per photocyte: 10^6 molecules (range: 3×10^5 to 10^7).
- Photocytes per lantern: 10^5 cells (range: 5×10^4 to 3×10^5).
- Quantum yield Φ : 0.41 (range: 0.41 to 0.88).
- Effective turnover k_{eff} : 0.01 s^{-1} (range: 0.01 to 1 s^{-1} ; oxygen-limited to burst discharge).
- Flash duration t : 0.25 s (range: 0.25 to 1.0 s).

Representative cases (adapted from Silver, 2025):

$$\begin{aligned} N_\gamma^{(\text{min})} &\approx 10^5 \times 10^6 \times 0.01 \times 0.41 \times 0.25 \\ &\approx 10^8 \text{ photons/flash} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_\gamma^{(\text{mid})} &\approx 10^5 \times 10^6 \times 0.1 \times 0.48 \times 0.25 \\ &\approx 10^9 \text{ photons/flash} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_\gamma^{(\text{max})} &\approx 10^5 \times 10^6 \times 1.0 \times 0.88 \times 1.0 \\ &\approx 4 \times 10^{10} \text{ photons/flash.} \end{aligned}$$

These span oxygen-limited steady flashing through a one-turnover-per-enzyme ‘burst’ in which a pre-charged enzyme pool is discharged synchronously. The biochemical budget therefore constrains any realistic flash to lie in the range 10^8 – 4×10^{10} photons.

Resolving the Textbook Discrepancy

The commonly cited brightness figure, traced to Ives and Coblenz (1924) and paraphrased as ‘1/40 candle,’ corresponds—under an isotropic, time-averaged interpretation—to $\sim 3 \times 10^{14}$ photons per 250 ms flash. Re-examination of Coblenz’s original 1912 monograph, however, shows that *Photinus pyralis* flashes actually ranged from 1/50 to 1/400 candle, with 1/400 predominating, and that visual nulling photometry likely matched peak rather than integrated intensity. Combined with the strongly ventral beaming of the lantern (effective solid angle ~ 1 – 2 sr rather than 4π) and modern luminous-efficiency curves, the corrected historical value drops by an order of magnitude or more. When these corrections are added to direct lux-metre measurements of live fireflies (0.2–0.5 lux at 1–2 cm, giving 10^{10} – 4×10^{11} photons/flash) and to reanalyses of Harvey and Stevens (1928) and Goh et al. (2022), all four lines of evidence converge on 10^{10} – 10^{11} photons per flash—fully consistent with the biochemical bounds above and three to four orders of magnitude below the naive 1/40-candle interpretation.

References:

- Timmins, G.S., et al. (2001). Firefly flashing is controlled by gating oxygen to light-emitting cells. *J. Exp. Biol.*, 204, 2795–2801.
- Ives, H.E., and Coblenz, W.W. (1924). Photometric studies of luminous insects. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, 9(3), 217–236.
- Coblenz, W.W. (1912). *A Physical Study of the Firefly*. Carnegie Institution of Washington, Publ. 164.
- Harvey, E.N., and Stevens, K.L. (1928). The brightness of the light of the West Indian elaterid beetle, *Pyrophorus*. *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 12, 269–272.

